| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/769,348  | STEINBOCK ET AL.   |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | JAMES M. HEWITT   | 3679   |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application:  |  |
| (1) JAMES M. HEWITT.  | (3)   |  |
| (2) <u>Suzanne Kikel</u> .  | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: 23 March 2010  | Time:   |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:   | ınt's representative)   |  |
| Part I.   |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |   |  |
| Claims discussed: 14, 22, 25  Prior art documents discussed:  |   |  |
| Part II.  |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI   | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS  | S DISCUSSED:   |
| Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 14, 22 and 25 obviate certain informalities in order to place the case in condition   |   | g Examiner's Amendment to                                  |
| Part III.   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul> | e examiner will provide a writte<br>ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
| (A  | applicant/Applicant's Representat                                 | ive Signature – if appropriate)                            |